

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/079,056	02/19/2002	Adam W. Cates	279.384US1	5121	
21186 75	21186 7590 02/27/2004			EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			GETZOW, SCOTT M		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3762			
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
. `	10/079,056	CATES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Scott M. Getzow	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the information of the maximum statuted for the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuted Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on						
· ·	·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-156</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are of 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-136,155 and 156</u> is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>137-141,144,148-151 and 154</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>142,143,145-147,152 and 153</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. wed.  is/are rejected. is/are objected to.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · ·	·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have been the large of the l	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) $\prod$ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/079,056

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 137,138,139,140,141,144,148,149,150 are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schauerte.

Schauerte teaches a stent which is introduced intravascularly, and which senses a mechanical parameter such as blood pressure, as taught in paragraph 23, and is connected to a device which provides therapy such as electrical stimulation of selected tissues.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 151 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schauerte in view of Schwartz et al '463.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,056

Art Unit: 3762

Schauerte teaches all of the subject matter of the above claim except the explicit use of drugs to improve biocompatibility. Schwartz teaches such in use with a stent. It would have been obvious to use such drugs to improve biocompatibility in order to prevent inflammation that frequently occurs when devices are chronically implanted in the body.

5. Claim 154 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schauerte in view of Silver '413.

Schauerte teaches all of the subject matter of the above claim except the explicit mention of eluting an active substance in response to a sensed blood sugar level. Silver teaches a stent which acts as a sensor and which, when the blood sugar is low, causes an implantable pump to elute an active substance. It would have been obvious to have this capability with the device of Schauerte in order to treat a wider variety of patients, such as those with diabetes.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-136,155,156 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 142,143,145-147,152,153 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott M. Getzow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

smg